UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SIA ADG SHIPMANAGEMENT,

Plaintiff,

- against -

ALFA STRAKHOVANIE a.k.a. ALFASTRAKHOVANIE PLC,

Defendant.



0707 CV 11187

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, SIA ADG SHIPMANAGEMENT (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff" or "ADG"), by and through its attorneys, Tisdale Law Offices LLC, as and for its Verified Complaint against the Defendant, ALFA STRAKHOVANIE a.k.a. ALFASTRAKHOVANIE PLC, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" or "ALFA"), alleges, upon information and belief, as follows:

- 1. This is an admiralty and maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 28 United States Code § 1333.
 - 2. At all times material to this action, Plaintiff was, and still is, a foreign company duly organized and operating under foreign law with a principal place of business in Latvia.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant was, and still is, a foreign corporation or other business entity organized under and existing by virtue of foreign law with a principal place of business in Moscow, Russia.

- 4. ALFA as insurer and ADG as insured entered into a Hull & Machinery Insurance Contract (the "H&M Contract") for the M/V MERCHANT BRAVERY (the "Vessel") on October 3, 2006.
- 5. Certain disputes arose between the parties after the Vessel's engine broke down and ALFA failed to compensate ADG for the loss as required under the H&M Contract.
- 6. As a result of ALFA's breaches the H&M Contract, ADG has suffered damages in the principal amount of \$1,551,046.93.
- 7. The H&M Contract provides that any disputes arising thereunder shall be referred to Moscow Arbitration with Russian law to apply.
 - 8. Plaintiff will soon commence arbitration in Moscow and appoint its arbitrator.
- 9 Despite due demand, Defendant has failed to pay the sums due and owing as a result of its breaches of the H&M Contract.
- 10. Interest, costs and attorneys' fees are routinely awarded to the prevailing party in arbitration pursuant to Russian Law. As best as can now be estimated, Plaintiff expects to recover the following amounts:

Total	:			\$1,902,682.63
	D	Arbitration costs:		\$46,531.00
	C	Estimated attorneys' fees:		\$150,000.00
	B. ,	Estimated interest on claims: 1 year at 10%		\$155,104.70
	A.	Principal claim:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$1,551,046.93

The Defendant cannot be found within this District within the meaning of
Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal
Rules of Civil Procedure, but, upon information and belief, Defendant has, or will have during

the pendency of this action, assets within this District and subject to the jurisdiction of this Court, held in the hands of garnishees within the District which are believed to be due and owing to the Defendant.

12. The Plaintiff seeks an order from this court directing the Clerk of Court to issue Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, and also pursuant to the United States Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 8, attaching, inter alia, any property of the Defendant held by any garnishees within the District for the purpose of obtaining personal jurisdiction over the Defendant, to compel arbitration and to secure the Plaintiff's claim as described above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays:

- A. That process in due form of law issue against the Defendant, citing it to appear and answer under oath all and singular the matters alleged in the Complaint, failing which default judgment be entered against it in the sum of \$1,902,682.63.
- B. That since the Defendant cannot be found within this District pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, this Court issue an Order directing the Clerk of Court to issue Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, also pursuant to the United States Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 8, attaching all goods, chattels, credits, letters of credit, bills of lading, effects, debts and monies, tangible or intangible, or any other funds up to the amount of \$1,902,682.63 belonging to, due or being transferred to, from, or for the benefit of the Defendant, including but not limited to such property as may be held, received or transferred in Defendant's name or as may be held, received or transferred for its benefit at, moving through, or within the possession, custody or control of banking/financial

3

institutions and/or other institutions or such other garnishee(s) to be named, and that all persons claiming any interest in the same be cited to appear and pursuant to Supplemental Admiralty Rule B answer the matters alleged in the Complaint;

Document 1

- That pursuant to 9 U.S.C. §§201 et seq. this Court recognizé and confirm any London arbitration award in Plaintiff's favor against the Defendant as a judgment of this Court;
- That this Court award Plaintiff the attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this D. action; and
- That the Plaintiff have such other, further and different relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: December 12, 2007 New York, NY

> The Plaintiff, SIA ADG SHIPMANAGEMENT

By:

Lauren C. Davies (LD 1980)

Thomas L. Tisdale (TT 5263)

TISDALE LAW OFFICES LLC

11 West 42nd Street, Suite 900

New York, NY 10036

(212) 354-0025 - phone

(212) 869-0067 - fax

ldavies@tisdale-law.com

ttisdale@tisdale-law.com

State of Connecticut	Y	SS.I	City of Southport
County of Fairfield).		

- My name is Lauren C. Davies. 1.
- I am over 18 years of age, of sound mind, capable of making this Verification, and fully competent to testify to all matters stated herein.
- I am an Associate in the firm of Tisdale Law Offices, LLC, attorneys for the Plaintiff.
- I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint and know the contents thereof and believe the same to be true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.
- The reason why this Verification is being made by the deponent and not by the Plaintiff is that the Plaintiff is a business organization with no officers or directors now within this District.
- The source of my knowledge and the grounds for my belief are the 6. statements made, and the documents and information received from, the Plaintiff and agents and/or representatives of the Plaintiff.
 - I am authorized to make this Verification on behalf of the Plaintiff.

Dated: December 2,2007 Southport, CT

Lauren C. Davies